



Staff has not changed where sexually oriented businesses can be located, however, antiquated definitions have been adjusted or “cleaned up”, i.e., removed the term “taxi dance hall”.

In Special Use Permit procedures, it was suggested to include a specific timeline. Previously “PUD” (planned unit development) and “mixed use developments” were not included.

Barry Nelson inquired on where a Hooter’s Restaurant would fit by definition. Ms. Smith stated “Hooters Restaurant” would be defined as a restaurant. As long as the Hooters staff is clothed and not performing like an exotic dancer, they are a restaurant. Staff is regulating the “act” vs. what they are wearing. If you are clothed but still exotic dancing, you are an exotic dancer.

Mr. Brucker then asked for public comments in favor or in opposition to the proposed text amendment.

1. Mr. David R. Draper of 18580 Mack Avenue, Grosse Pointe Farms, Michigan stated under the Township’s proposed ordinance regarding “Adult Cabaret” he feels the use of the word “bikini” should be omitted from the definition. Also a bikini barista would not fit under our proposed definition for “Adult Cabaret”. Mr. Draper also stated the proposed ordinance appears to be targeted towards “Tini Bikini’s”, the client he represents. Mr. Brucker stated updating this ordinance was not to target Tini Bikini’s in any way. Mr. Draper stated it was unusual to see the word “bikini” in proposed ordinances of this kind. Ms. Smith stated staff would be willing to change the proposed ordinance by eliminating the word “bikini” from the definition. Attorney Mark Mahlberg didn’t feel that the Township needed to change the verbiage as written. Ms. Smith stated the Township wants to regulate the “act” being performed and ensure that the clothing being worn meets the relevant standards in the ordinance. Attorney Mark Mahlberg asked Mr. Draper if he had any objections. Mr. Draper stated he was afraid the Township will outlaw people from wearing bikinis as an entertainer. Ms. Smith stated if someone is serving chicken strips in a bikini and it’s a bikini you would typically see at a beach, that’s not necessarily what we are attempting to regulate. Ms. Smith stressed that the Township was attempting to regulate the act and ensure the clothing met the included standards relative to covering specified anatomical areas. Attorney Mark Mahlberg stated the clothing/material must be opaque. Mr. Draper then objected to Section 2215.5.c., where it states “Wholly or substantially exposed to public view” as it pertains to breasts shall mean the showing of the female breast in an alcoholic commercial establishment with less than a fully opaque covering of all portions of the areola and nipple, and the prohibition shall also extend to such events similar to **wet t-shirt contests, lingerie shows or bikini shows.**” Mr. Draper and Mr. Mahlberg agreed, at this point, to meet sometime with the next five (5) business days to go over the ordinance



